MOPAR Transmission Sealer & Conditioner

FCA Canada Inc.

Chemwatch Hazard Alert Code: **0**

Part Number: **676**
Version No: **1.2**
Safety Data Sheet according to WHMIS 2015 requirements

Issue Date: **08/05/2022**
Print Date: **08/05/2022**
L.GHS.CAN.EN

SECTION 1 Identification

Product Identifier

Product name	MOPAR Transmission Sealer & Conditioner
Synonyms	68621499AA, 68629556AA
Other means of identification	Not Available

Recommended use of the chemical and restrictions on use

Relevant identified uses	Transmission Conditioner

Name, address, and telephone number of the chemical manufacturer, importer, or other responsible party

Registered company name	**FCA Canada Inc.**	**Mopar (FCA US LLC Service & Customer Care Division)**	**Mopar (FCA US LLC Service & Customer Care Division)**
Address	CIMS 240-11-05 One Riverside Drive West Windsor ON N9A 5K3 Canada	26311 Lawerence Avenue, Center Line Michigan 48015 United States	26311 Lawerence Avenue, Center Line Michigan 48015 United States
Telephone	1-800-846-6727	1-800-846-6727	1-800-846-6727
Fax	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available
Website	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available
Email	moparsds@fcagroup.com	moparsds@fcagroup.com	moparsds@fcagroup.com

Emergency phone number

Association / Organisation	**CHEMTREC**	**CHEMTREC**	**CHEMTREC**
Emergency telephone numbers	+1 703-741-5970	+1 703-741-5970	+1 703-741-5970
Other emergency telephone numbers	Not Available	248-512-8002	248-512-8002

SECTION 2 Hazard(s) identification

Classification of the substance or mixture

ChemWatch Hazard Ratings

	Min	Max
Flammability	0	
Toxicity	0	
Body Contact	0	
Reactivity	0	
Chronic	0	

0 = Minimum
1 = Low
2 = Moderate
3 = High
4 = Extreme

NFPA 704 diamond

Note: The hazard category numbers found in GHS classification in section 2 of this SDSs are NOT to be used to fill in the NFPA 704 diamond. Blue = Health Red = Fire Yellow = Reactivity White = Special (Oxidizer or water reactive substances)

Canadian WHMIS Symbols

Classification	Not Applicable

Page 1 continued...

Label elements

Hazard pictogram(s)	Not Applicable
Signal word	**Not Applicable**

Hazard statement(s)

Not Applicable

Physical and Health hazard(s) not otherwise classified

Not Applicable

Precautionary statement(s) General

P101	If medical advice is needed, have product container or label at hand.
P102	Keep out of reach of children.
P103	Read label before use.

Precautionary statement(s) Prevention

Not Applicable

Precautionary statement(s) Response

Not Applicable

Precautionary statement(s) Storage

Not Applicable

Precautionary statement(s) Disposal

P501	Dispose of contents and container in accordance with local regulations.

Not Applicable

SECTION 3 Composition / information on ingredients

Substances

See section below for composition of Mixtures

Mixtures

CAS No	%[weight]	Name
64742-47-8*	57-76	Petroleum Naphtha
Not Available	8.55-13.3	Additive system containing proprietary formulated ingredient
64742-55-8.*	1-1.5	Distillates (Petroleum), Hydrotreated Light Paraffinic
Not Available	<0.95	Other minor additives
122-39-4*	0.005-0.025	diphenylamine

The specific chemical identity and/or exact percentage (concentration) of composition has been withheld as a trade secret.

SECTION 4 First-aid measures

Description of first aid measures

Eye Contact	If this product comes in contact with eyes: ▸ Wash out immediately with water. ▸ If irritation continues, seek medical attention. ▸ Removal of contact lenses after an eye injury should only be undertaken by skilled personnel.
Skin Contact	If skin or hair contact occurs: ▸ Flush skin and hair with running water (and soap if available). ▸ Seek medical attention in event of irritation.
Inhalation	▸ If fumes, aerosols or combustion products are inhaled remove from contaminated area. ▸ Other measures are usually unnecessary.
Ingestion	▸ Immediately give a glass of water. ▸ First aid is not generally required. If in doubt, contact a Poisons Information Centre or a doctor.

Indication of any immediate medical attention and special treatment needed

Treat symptomatically.

SECTION 5 Fire-fighting measures

Extinguishing media

- Foam.
- Dry chemical powder.

Continued...

- BCF (where regulations permit).
- Carbon dioxide.
- Water spray or fog - Large fires only.

Special hazards arising from the substrate or mixture

Fire Incompatibility	None known.

Special protective equipment and precautions for fire-fighters

Fire Fighting	- Alert Fire Brigade and tell them location and nature of hazard. - Wear full body protective clothing with breathing apparatus. - Prevent, by any means available, spillage from entering drains or water course. - Use water delivered as a fine spray to control fire and cool adjacent area. - Avoid spraying water onto liquid pools. - **DO NOT** approach containers suspected to be hot. - Cool fire exposed containers with water spray from a protected location. - If safe to do so, remove containers from path of fire.
Fire/Explosion Hazard	- Combustible. - Slight fire hazard when exposed to heat or flame. - Heating may cause expansion or decomposition leading to violent rupture of containers. - On combustion, may emit irritating/ toxic fumes. - May emit acrid smoke. - Mists containing combustible materials may be explosive.

SECTION 6 Accidental release measures

Personal precautions, protective equipment and emergency procedures

See section 8

Environmental precautions

See section 12

Methods and material for containment and cleaning up

Minor Spills	- Remove all ignition sources. - Clean up all spills immediately. - Avoid breathing vapours and contact with skin and eyes. - Control personal contact with the substance, by using protective equipment. - Contain and absorb spill with sand, earth, inert material or vermiculite. - Wipe up. - Place in a suitable, labelled container for waste disposal.
Major Spills	Moderate hazard. - Clear area of personnel and move upwind. - Alert Fire Brigade and tell them location and nature of hazard. - Wear breathing apparatus plus protective gloves. - Prevent, by any means available, spillage from entering drains or water course. - No smoking, naked lights or ignition sources. - Increase ventilation. - Stop leak if safe to do so. - Contain spill with sand, earth or vermiculite. - Collect recoverable product into labelled containers for recycling. - Absorb remaining product with sand, earth or vermiculite. - Collect solid residues and seal in labelled drums for disposal. - Wash area and prevent runoff into drains. - If contamination of drains or waterways occurs, advise emergency services.

Personal Protective Equipment advice is contained in Section 8 of the SDS.

SECTION 7 Handling and storage

Precautions for safe handling

Safe handling	- Avoid all personal contact, including inhalation. - Wear protective clothing when risk of exposure occurs. - Use in a well-ventilated area. - Prevent concentration in hollows and sumps. - **DO NOT** enter confined spaces until atmosphere has been checked. - Avoid smoking, naked lights or ignition sources. - Avoid contact with incompatible materials. - When handling, **DO NOT** eat, drink or smoke. - Keep containers securely sealed when not in use. - Avoid physical damage to containers. - Always wash hands with soap and water after handling. - Work clothes should be laundered separately. - Use good occupational work practice. - Observe manufacturer's storage and handling recommendations contained within this SDS. - Atmosphere should be regularly checked against established exposure standards to ensure safe working conditions.

Continued...

Other information	▸ Store in original containers. ▸ Keep containers securely sealed. ▸ No smoking, naked lights or ignition sources. ▸ Store in a cool, dry, well-ventilated area. ▸ Store away from incompatible materials and foodstuff containers. ▸ Protect containers against physical damage and check regularly for leaks. ▸ Observe manufacturer's storage and handling recommendations contained within this SDS.

Conditions for safe storage, including any incompatibilities

Suitable container	▸ Metal can or drum ▸ Packaging as recommended by manufacturer. ▸ Check all containers are clearly labelled and free from leaks.
Storage incompatibility	Avoid contamination of water, foodstuffs, feed or seed. None known

SECTION 8 Exposure controls / personal protection

Control parameters

Occupational Exposure Limits (OEL)

INGREDIENT DATA

Source	Ingredient	Material name	TWA	STEL	Peak	Notes
Canada - Yukon Permissible Concentrations for Airborne Contaminant Substances	Petroleum Naphtha	Oil mist, mineral	5 mg/m3	10 mg/m3	Not Available	Not Available
Canada - Nova Scotia Occupational Exposure Limits	Petroleum Naphtha	Oil mist - mineral	5 mg/m3	10 mg/m3	Not Available	TLV Basis: lung. As sampled by method that does not collect vapor.
Canada - Alberta Occupational Exposure Limits	Petroleum Naphtha	Oil mist, mineral	5 mg/m3	10 mg/m3	Not Available	Not Available
Canada - Saskatchewan Occupational Health and Safety Regulations - Contamination Limits	Petroleum Naphtha	Oil mist, mineral	5 mg/m3	10 mg/m3	Not Available	Not Available
Canada - Manitoba Occupational Exposure Limits	Petroleum Naphtha	Not Available	5 mg/m3	Not Available	Not Available	TLV® Basis: URT irr
Canada - Manitoba Occupational Exposure Limits	Petroleum Naphtha	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	TLV® Basis: URT irr
Canada - British Columbia Occupational Exposure Limits	Petroleum Naphtha	Oil mist - mineral, mildly refined	0.2 mg/m3	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available
Canada - British Columbia Occupational Exposure Limits	Petroleum Naphtha	Oil mist - mineral, severely refined	1 mg/m3	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available
Canada - Prince Edward Island Occupational Exposure Limits	Petroleum Naphtha	Mineral oil, excluding metal working fluids - Pure, highly and severely refined	5 mg/m3	Not Available	Not Available	TLV® Basis: URT irr
Canada - Prince Edward Island Occupational Exposure Limits	Petroleum Naphtha	Mineral oil, excluding metal working fluids - Poorly and mildly refined	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	TLV® Basis: URT irr
Canada - Northwest Territories Occupational Exposure Limits	Petroleum Naphtha	Oil mist, mineral	5 mg/m3	10 mg/m3	Not Available	Not Available
Canada - Quebec Permissible Exposure Values for Airborne Contaminants	Petroleum Naphtha	Mineral oil (mist)	5 mg/m3	10 mg/m3	Not Available	Not Available
Canada - Yukon Permissible Concentrations for Airborne Contaminant Substances	Distillates (Petroleum), Hydrotreated Light Paraffinic	Oil mist, mineral	5 mg/m3	10 mg/m3	Not Available	Not Available
Canada - Nova Scotia Occupational Exposure Limits	Distillates (Petroleum), Hydrotreated Light Paraffinic	Oil mist - mineral	5 mg/m3	10 mg/m3	Not Available	TLV Basis: lung. As sampled by method that does not collect vapor.
Canada - Alberta Occupational Exposure Limits	Distillates (Petroleum), Hydrotreated Light Paraffinic	Oil mist, mineral	5 mg/m3	10 mg/m3	Not Available	Not Available
Canada - Saskatchewan Occupational Health and Safety Regulations - Contamination Limits	Distillates (Petroleum), Hydrotreated Light Paraffinic	Oil mist, mineral	5 mg/m3	10 mg/m3	Not Available	Not Available
Canada - Manitoba Occupational Exposure Limits	Distillates (Petroleum), Hydrotreated Light Paraffinic	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	TLV® Basis: URT irr

Continued...

Source	Ingredient	Material name	TWA	STEL	Peak	Notes
Canada - Manitoba Occupational Exposure Limits	Distillates (Petroleum), Hydrotreated Light Paraffinic	Not Available	5 mg/m3	Not Available	Not Available	TLV® Basis: URT irr
Canada - British Columbia Occupational Exposure Limits	Distillates (Petroleum), Hydrotreated Light Paraffinic	Oil mist - mineral, severely refined	1 mg/m3	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available
Canada - British Columbia Occupational Exposure Limits	Distillates (Petroleum), Hydrotreated Light Paraffinic	Oil mist - mineral, mildly refined	0.2 mg/m3	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available
Canada - Prince Edward Island Occupational Exposure Limits	Distillates (Petroleum), Hydrotreated Light Paraffinic	Mineral oil, excluding metal working fluids - Poorly and mildly refined	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	TLV® Basis: URT irr
Canada - Prince Edward Island Occupational Exposure Limits	Distillates (Petroleum), Hydrotreated Light Paraffinic	Mineral oil, excluding metal working fluids - Pure, highly and severely refined	5 mg/m3	Not Available	Not Available	TLV® Basis: URT irr
Canada - Northwest Territories Occupational Exposure Limits	Distillates (Petroleum), Hydrotreated Light Paraffinic	Oil mist, mineral	5 mg/m3	10 mg/m3	Not Available	Not Available
Canada - Quebec Permissible Exposure Values for Airborne Contaminants	Distillates (Petroleum), Hydrotreated Light Paraffinic	Mineral oil (mist)	5 mg/m3	10 mg/m3	Not Available	Not Available
Canada - Yukon Permissible Concentrations for Airborne Contaminant Substances	diphenylamine	Diphenylamine	10 mg/m3	20 mg/m3	Not Available	Not Available
Canada - Nova Scotia Occupational Exposure Limits	diphenylamine	Diphenylamine	10 mg/m3	Not Available	Not Available	TLV Basis: liver & kidney damage; hematologic effects
Canada - Alberta Occupational Exposure Limits	diphenylamine	Diphenylamine	10 mg/m3	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available
Canada - Saskatchewan Occupational Health and Safety Regulations - Contamination Limits	diphenylamine	Diphenylamine	10 mg/m3	20 mg/m3	Not Available	Not Available
Canada - Manitoba Occupational Exposure Limits	diphenylamine	Not Available	10 mg/m3	Not Available	Not Available	TLV® Basis: Liver & kidney dam; hematologic eff
Canada - British Columbia Occupational Exposure Limits	diphenylamine	Diphenylamine	10 mg/m3	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available
Canada - Prince Edward Island Occupational Exposure Limits	diphenylamine	Diphenylamine	10 mg/m3	Not Available	Not Available	TLV® Basis: Liver & kidney dam; hematologic eff
Canada - Ontario Occupational Exposure Limits	diphenylamine	Particles (Insoluble or Poorly Soluble) Not Otherwise Specified (PNOS) (Respirable fraction)	3 mg/m3	Not Available	Not Available	(R) Respirable fraction: means that size fraction of the airborne particulate deposited in the gas-exchange region of the respiratory tract and collected during air sampling with a particle size-selective device that, (a) meets the ACGIH particle size-selective sampling criteria for airborne particulate matter; and (b) has the cut point of 4 µm at 50 per cent collection efficiency.
Canada - Ontario Occupational Exposure Limits	diphenylamine	Particles (Insoluble or Poorly Soluble) Not Otherwise Specified (PNOS) (Inhalable fraction)	10 mg/m3	Not Available	Not Available	(I) Inhalable fraction: means that size fraction of the airborne particulate deposited anywhere in the respiratory tract and collected during air sampling with a particle size-selective device that, (a) meets the ACGIH particle size-selective sampling criteria for airborne particulate matter; and (b) has the cut point of 100 µm at 50 per cent collection efficiency.
Canada - Northwest Territories Occupational Exposure Limits	diphenylamine	Diphenylamine	10 mg/m3	20 mg/m3	Not Available	Not Available
Canada - Quebec Permissible Exposure Values for Airborne Contaminants	diphenylamine	Diphenylamine	10 mg/m3	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available

Emergency Limits

Ingredient	TEEL-1	TEEL-2	TEEL-3
Petroleum Naphtha	140 mg/m3	1,500 mg/m3	8,900 mg/m3
Distillates (Petroleum), Hydrotreated Light Paraffinic	140 mg/m3	1,500 mg/m3	8,900 mg/m3
diphenylamine	30 mg/m3	180 mg/m3	220 mg/m3

Ingredient	Original IDLH	Revised IDLH
Petroleum Naphtha	2,500 mg/m3	Not Available

Continued...

Ingredient	Original IDLH	Revised IDLH
Distillates (Petroleum), Hydrotreated Light Paraffinic	2,500 mg/m3	Not Available
diphenylamine	Not Available	Not Available

MATERIAL DATA

NOTE L: The classification as a carcinogen need not apply if it can be shown that the substance contains less than 3% DMSO extract as measured by IP 346.
European Union (EU) List of harmonised classification and labelling hazardous substances, Table 3.1, Annex VI, Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008 (CLP) - up to the latest ATP

Exposure controls

Appropriate engineering controls

Engineering controls are used to remove a hazard or place a barrier between the worker and the hazard. Well-designed engineering controls can be highly effective in protecting workers and will typically be independent of worker interactions to provide this high level of protection.
The basic types of engineering controls are:
Process controls which involve changing the way a job activity or process is done to reduce the risk.
Enclosure and/or isolation of emission source which keeps a selected hazard "physically" away from the worker and ventilation that strategically "adds" and "removes" air in the work environment. Ventilation can remove or dilute an air contaminant if designed properly. The design of a ventilation system must match the particular process and chemical or contaminant in use.
Employers may need to use multiple types of controls to prevent employee overexposure.

General exhaust is adequate under normal operating conditions. If risk of overexposure exists, wear SAA approved respirator. Correct fit is essential to obtain adequate protection. Provide adequate ventilation in warehouse or closed storage areas. Air contaminants generated in the workplace possess varying "escape" velocities which, in turn, determine the "capture velocities" of fresh circulating air required to effectively remove the contaminant.

Type of Contaminant:	Air Speed:
solvent, vapours, degreasing etc., evaporating from tank (in still air)	0.25-0.5 m/s (50-100 f/min)
aerosols, fumes from pouring operations, intermittent container filling, low speed conveyer transfers, welding, spray drift, plating acid fumes, pickling (released at low velocity into zone of active generation)	0.5-1 m/s (100-200 f/min.)
direct spray, spray painting in shallow booths, drum filling, conveyer loading, crusher dusts, gas discharge (active generation into zone of rapid air motion)	1-2.5 m/s (200-500 f/min)
grinding, abrasive blasting, tumbling, high speed wheel generated dusts (released at high initial velocity into zone of very high rapid air motion).	2.5-10 m/s (500-2000 f/min.)

Within each range the appropriate value depends on:

Lower end of the range	Upper end of the range
1: Room air currents minimal or favourable to capture	1: Disturbing room air currents
2: Contaminants of low toxicity or of nuisance value only	2: Contaminants of high toxicity
3: Intermittent, low production.	3: High production, heavy use
4: Large hood or large air mass in motion	4: Small hood - local control only

Simple theory shows that air velocity falls rapidly with distance away from the opening of a simple extraction pipe. Velocity generally decreases with the square of distance from the extraction point (in simple cases). Therefore the air speed at the extraction point should be adjusted, accordingly, after reference to distance from the contaminating source. The air velocity at the extraction fan, for example, should be a minimum of 1-2 m/s (200-400 f/min.) for extraction of solvents generated in a tank 2 meters distant from the extraction point. Other mechanical considerations, producing performance deficits within the extraction apparatus, make it essential that theoretical air velocities are multiplied by factors of 10 or more when extraction systems are installed or used.

Personal protection

Eye and face protection

- Safety glasses with side shields
- Chemical goggles.
- Contact lenses may pose a special hazard; soft contact lenses may absorb and concentrate irritants. A written policy document, describing the wearing of lenses or restrictions on use, should be created for each workplace or task. This should include a review of lens absorption and adsorption for the class of chemicals in use and an account of injury experience. Medical and first-aid personnel should be trained in their removal and suitable equipment should be readily available. In the event of chemical exposure, begin eye irrigation immediately and remove contact lens as soon as practicable. Lens should be removed at the first signs of eye redness or irritation - lens should be removed in a clean environment only after workers have washed hands thoroughly. [CDC NIOSH Current Intelligence Bulletin 59], [AS/NZS 1336 or national equivalent]

Skin protection

See Hand protection below

Hands/feet protection

Wear general protective gloves, eg. light weight rubber gloves.
The selection of suitable gloves does not only depend on the material, but also on further marks of quality which vary from manufacturer to manufacturer. Where the chemical is a preparation of several substances, the resistance of the glove material can not be calculated in advance and has therefore to be checked prior to the application.
The exact break through time for substances has to be obtained from the manufacturer of the protective gloves and has to be observed when making a final choice.
Personal hygiene is a key element of effective hand care. Gloves must only be worn on clean hands. After using gloves, hands should be washed and dried thoroughly. Application of a non-perfumed moisturiser is recommended.
Suitability and durability of glove type is dependent on usage. Important factors in the selection of gloves include:
· frequency and duration of contact,
· chemical resistance of glove material,
· glove thickness and
· dexterity

Continued...

	Select gloves tested to a relevant standard (e.g. Europe EN 374, US F739, AS/NZS 2161.1 or national equivalent). · When prolonged or frequently repeated contact may occur, a glove with a protection class of 5 or higher (breakthrough time greater than 240 minutes according to EN 374, AS/NZS 2161.10.1 or national equivalent) is recommended. · When only brief contact is expected, a glove with a protection class of 3 or higher (breakthrough time greater than 60 minutes according to EN 374, AS/NZS 2161.10.1 or national equivalent) is recommended. · Some glove polymer types are less affected by movement and this should be taken into account when considering gloves for long-term use. · Contaminated gloves should be replaced. As defined in ASTM F-739-96 in any application, gloves are rated as: · Excellent when breakthrough time > 480 min · Good when breakthrough time > 20 min · Fair when breakthrough time < 20 min · Poor when glove material degrades For general applications, gloves with a thickness typically greater than 0.35 mm, are recommended. It should be emphasised that glove thickness is not necessarily a good predictor of glove resistance to a specific chemical, as the permeation efficiency of the glove will be dependent on the exact composition of the glove material. Therefore, glove selection should also be based on consideration of the task requirements and knowledge of breakthrough times. Glove thickness may also vary depending on the glove manufacturer, the glove type and the glove model. Therefore, the manufacturers technical data should always be taken into account to ensure selection of the most appropriate glove for the task. Note: Depending on the activity being conducted, gloves of varying thickness may be required for specific tasks. For example: · Thinner gloves (down to 0.1 mm or less) may be required where a high degree of manual dexterity is needed. However, these gloves are only likely to give short duration protection and would normally be just for single use applications, then disposed of. · Thicker gloves (up to 3 mm or more) may be required where there is a mechanical (as well as a chemical) risk i.e. where there is abrasion or puncture potential Gloves must only be worn on clean hands. After using gloves, hands should be washed and dried thoroughly. Application of a non-perfumed moisturiser is recommended.
Body protection	See Other protection below
Other protection	No special equipment needed when handling small quantities. **OTHERWISE:** ‣ Overalls. ‣ Barrier cream. ‣ Eyewash unit.

Respiratory protection

Type A-P Filter of sufficient capacity. (AS/NZS 1716 & 1715, EN 143:2000 & 149:2001, ANSI Z88 or national equivalent)

Where the concentration of gas/particulates in the breathing zone, approaches or exceeds the "Exposure Standard" (or ES), respiratory protection is required.
Degree of protection varies with both face-piece and Class of filter; the nature of protection varies with Type of filter.

Required Minimum Protection Factor	Half-Face Respirator	Full-Face Respirator	Powered Air Respirator
up to 10 x ES	A-AUS P2	-	A-PAPR-AUS / Class 1 P2
up to 50 x ES	-	A-AUS / Class 1 P2	-
up to 100 x ES	-	A-2 P2	A-PAPR-2 P2 ^

^ - Full-face
A(All classes) = Organic vapours, B AUS or B1 = Acid gasses, B2 = Acid gas or hydrogen cyanide(HCN), B3 = Acid gas or hydrogen cyanide(HCN), E = Sulfur dioxide(SO2), G = Agricultural chemicals, K = Ammonia(NH3), Hg = Mercury, NO = Oxides of nitrogen, MB = Methyl bromide, AX = Low boiling point organic compounds(below 65 degC)

SECTION 9 Physical and chemical properties

Information on basic physical and chemical properties

Appearance	Red

Physical state	Liquid	**Relative density (Water = 1)**	0.9
Odour	Hydrocarbon, mild	**Partition coefficient n-octanol / water**	Not Available
Odour threshold	Not Available	**Auto-ignition temperature (°C)**	>320
pH (as supplied)	Not Available	**Decomposition temperature (°C)**	Not Available
Melting point / freezing point (°C)	-50	**Viscosity (cSt)**	34
Initial boiling point and boiling range (°C)	Not Available	**Molecular weight (g/mol)**	Not Available
Flash point (°C)	176	**Taste**	Not Available
Evaporation rate	Not Available	**Explosive properties**	Not Available
Flammability	Not Applicable	**Oxidising properties**	Not Available
Upper Explosive Limit (%)	Not Available	**Surface Tension (dyn/cm or mN/m)**	Not Available
Lower Explosive Limit (%)	Not Available	**Volatile Component (%vol)**	<1
Vapour pressure (kPa)	<0.3	**Gas group**	Not Available

Continued...

Solubility in water	Poorly soluble in water	**pH as a solution (Not Available%)**	Not Available
Vapour density (Air = 1)	>0.9	**VOC g/L**	<1%

SECTION 10 Stability and reactivity

Reactivity	See section 7
Chemical stability	Product is considered stable and hazardous polymerisation will not occur.
Possibility of hazardous reactions	See section 7
Conditions to avoid	See section 7
Incompatible materials	See section 7
Hazardous decomposition products	See section 5

SECTION 11 Toxicological information

Information on toxicological effects

Inhaled	The material is not thought to produce adverse health effects or irritation of the respiratory tract (as classified by EC Directives using animal models). Nevertheless, good hygiene practice requires that exposure be kept to a minimum and that suitable control measures be used in an occupational setting.
Ingestion	The material has **NOT** been classified by EC Directives or other classification systems as "harmful by ingestion". This is because of the lack of corroborating animal or human evidence. The material may still be damaging to the health of the individual, following ingestion, especially where pre-existing organ (e.g liver, kidney) damage is evident. Present definitions of harmful or toxic substances are generally based on doses producing mortality rather than those producing morbidity (disease, ill-health). Gastrointestinal tract discomfort may produce nausea and vomiting. In an occupational setting however, ingestion of insignificant quantities is not thought to be cause for concern.
Skin Contact	The liquid may be miscible with fats or oils and may degrease the skin, producing a skin reaction described as non-allergic contact dermatitis. The material is unlikely to produce an irritant dermatitis as described in EC Directives .
Eye	Although the liquid is not thought to be an irritant (as classified by EC Directives), direct contact with the eye may produce transient discomfort characterised by tearing or conjunctival redness (as with windburn).
Chronic	Long-term exposure to the product is not thought to produce chronic effects adverse to health (as classified by EC Directives using animal models); nevertheless exposure by all routes should be minimised as a matter of course.

MOPAR Transmission Sealer & Conditioner	**TOXICITY**	**IRRITATION**
	Not Available	Not Available
Petroleum Naphtha	**TOXICITY**	**IRRITATION**
	Dermal (rabbit) LD50: >2000 mg/kg[2]	Eye: no adverse effect observed (not irritating)[1]
	Inhalation(Rat) LC50; >4.3 mg/l4h[1]	Skin: adverse effect observed (irritating)[1]
	Oral (Rat) LD50; >5000 mg/kg[2]	
Distillates (Petroleum), Hydrotreated Light Paraffinic	**TOXICITY**	**IRRITATION**
	Oral (Rat) LD50; >5000 mg/kg *[2]	Eye: no adverse effect observed (not irritating)[1]
		Skin: no adverse effect observed (not irritating)[1]
diphenylamine	**TOXICITY**	**IRRITATION**
	Oral (Guinea) LD50; 300 mg/kg[2]	Eye: adverse effect observed (irritating)[1]
	Oral (Mouse) LD50; 1230 mg/kg[2]	Skin: no adverse effect observed (not irritating)[1]
	Oral (Rat) LD50; 1120 mg/kg[2]	
Legend:	*1. Value obtained from Europe ECHA Registered Substances - Acute toxicity 2.* Value obtained from manufacturer's SDS. Unless otherwise specified data extracted from RTECS - Register of Toxic Effect of chemical Substances*	

Petroleum Naphtha	Studies indicate that normal, branched and cyclic paraffins are absorbed from the mammalian gastrointestinal tract and that the absorption of n-paraffins is inversely proportional to the carbon chain length,with little absorption above C30. With respect to the carbon chain lengths likely to be present in mineral oil, n-paraffins may be absorbed to a greater extent that iso- or cyclo-paraffins. The major classes of hydrocarbons have been shown to be well absorbed by the gastrointestinal tract in various species. In many cases, the hydrophobic hydrocarbons are ingested in association with dietary lipids. The dependence of hydrocarbon absorption on concomitant triglyceride digestion and absorption,is known as the "hydrocarbon continuum hypothesis", and asserts that a series of solubilising phases in the intestinal lumen, created by dietary triglycerides and their digestion products, afford hydrocarbons a route to the lipid phase of the intestinal absorptive cell (enterocyte) membrane. While some hydrocarbons may traverse the mucosal epithelium unmetabolised and appear as solutes in lipoprotein particles in intestinal lymph, there is evidence that most hydrocarbons partially separate from nutrient lipids and undergo metabolic transformation

Continued...

	in the enterocyte. The enterocyte may play a major role in determining the proportion of an absorbed hydrocarbon that, by escaping initial biotransformation, becomes available for deposition in its unchanged form in peripheral tissues such as adipose tissue, or in the liver. For "kerosenes" **Acute toxicity:** Oral LD50s for three kerosenes (Jet A, CAS No. 8008-20-6 and CAS No. 64742-81-0) ranged from > 2 to >20 g/kg The dermal LD50s of the same three kerosenes were all >2.0 g//kg. Inhalation LC50 values in Sprague-Dawley rats for straight run kerosene (CAS No. 8008-20-6) and hydrodesulfurised kerosene (CAS No. 64742-81-0) were reported to be > 5 and > 5.2 mg/l, respectively. No mortalities in rats were reported in rats when exposed for eight hours to saturated vapor of deodorised kerosene (probably a desulfurised kerosene). Six hour exposures of cats to the same material produced an LC50 of >6.4 mg/l When tested in rabbits for skin irritation, straight run kerosene (CAS No. 8008-20-6) produced “moderate” to “severe” irritation. Six additional skin irritation studies on a range of kerosenes produced “mild” to “severe” irritation. An eye irritation in rabbits of straight run kerosene (CAS No. 8008-20-6) produced Draize scores of 0.7 and 2.0 (unwashed and washed eyes) at 1 hour. By 24 hours, the Draize scores had returned to zero. Eye irritation studies have also been reported for hydrodesulfurized kerosene and jet fuel. These materials produced more irritation in the unwashed eyes at 1 hour than had the straight run kerosene. The eye irritation persisted longer than that seen with straight run kerosene, but by day 7 had resolved. Straight run kerosene (CAS No. 8008-20-6), Jet A, and hydrodesulfurized kerosene (CAS No. 64742-81-0) have not produced sensitisation when tested in guinea pigs **Repeat-Dose toxicity:** Multiple repeat-dose toxicity studies have been reported on a variety of kerosenes or jet fuels. When applied dermally, kerosenes and jet fuels have been shown to produce dermal and systemic effects Dose levels of 200, 1000 and 2000 mg/kg of a straight run kerosene (CAS No. 8008-20-6) were applied undiluted to the skin of male and female New Zealand white rabbits The test material was applied 3x/week for 28 days. One male and one female in the 2000 mg/kg dose group found dead on days 10 and 24 respectively were thought to be treatment-related. Clinical signs that were considered to be treatment-related included: thinness, nasal discharge, lethargy, soiled anal area, anal discharge, wheezing. The high dose group appeared to have a treatment related mean body weight loss when compared to controls. Dose-related skin irritation was observed, ranging from “slight” to “moderate” in the low and high dose groups, respectively. Other treatment-related dermal findings included cracked, flaky and/or leathery skin, crusts and/or hair loss. Reductions in RBC, haemoglobin and haematocrit were seen in the male dose groups. There were no treatment related effects on a variety of clinical chemistry values. Absolute and relative weights for a number of organs were normal, with the following exceptions that were judged to be treatment-related: • increased relative heart weights for the mid- and high- dose males and females, • increased absolute and relative spleen weights in treated females, and • differences in absolute and relative adrenal weights in both male and female treated animals (considered to be stress-related and therefore, indirectly related to treatment). Gross necropsy findings were confined largely to the skin. Enlarged spleens were seen in the female groups. Microscopic examination of tissues taken at necropsy found proliferative inflammatory changes in the treated skin of all male and female animals in the high dose group. These changes were, in the majority of animals, accompanied by an increase in granulopoiesis of the bone marrow. Four of six high dose males had testicular changes (multifocal or diffuse tubular hypoplasia) that were considered by the study authors to be secondary to the skin and/or weight changes. In a different study, hydrodesulfurised kerosene was tested in a thirteen-week dermal study using Sprague-Dawley rats. Test material was applied 5x/week to the skin of male and female rats at dose levels of 165, 330 and 495 mg/kg. Aside from skin irritation at the site of application, there were no treatment-related clinical signs during the study. Screening of all animals using a functional observation battery (FOB) did not find any substance-related effects. Opthalomological examination of all animals also found no treatment-related effects. There were no treatment-related effects on growth rates, hematological or clinical chemical values, or absolute or relative organ weights. Microscopic examination of tissues from animals surviving to termination found no treatment-related changes, with the exception of a minimal degree of a proliferative and inflammatory changes in the skin. A hydrodesulfurised middle distillate (CAS no. 64742-80-9) has also been tested in a four week inhalation study . In the study, Sprague-Dawley rats were exposed to a nominal concentration of 25mg/m3 kerosene. Exposures were for approximately 6 hr/day, five days each week for four consecutive weeks. There were no treatment-related effects on clinical condition, growth rate, absolute or relative organ weights, or any of the hematological or clinical chemistry determinations. Microscopic examination found no treatment-related changes observed in any tissues. **Carcinogenicity:** In addition to the repeat-dose studies discussed above, a number of dermal carcinogenicity studies have been performed on kerosenes or jet fuels. .Following the discovery that hydrodesulfurised (HDS) kerosene caused skin tumors in lifetime mouse skin painting studies, the role of dermal irritation in tumor formation was extensively studied. HDS kerosene proved to be a mouse skin tumor promoter rather than initiator, and this promotion required prolonged dermal irritation . If the equivalent dose of kerosene was applied to the skin in manner that did not cause significant skin irritation (eg, dilution with a mineral oil) no skin tumors occurred . Dermal bioavailability studies in mice confirmed that the reduced irritation seen with samples in mineral oil was not due to decreased skin penetration . The effect of chronic acanthosis on the dermal tumorigenicity of a hydrodesulfurised kerosene was studied and the author concluded that hyperplasia was essential for tumor promotion. However, the author also concluded that subacute inflammation did not appear to be a significant factor A sample of a hydrodesulfurised kerosene has been tested in an initiation-promotion assay in male CD-1 mice . Animal survivals were not effected by exposure to the kerosene. The study's authors concluded that the kerosene was not an initiator but it did show tumor promoting activity. ***In-Vitro* (Genotoxicity):** The potential *in vitro* genotoxicities of kerosene and jet fuel have been evaluated in a variety of studies. Standard Ames assays on two kerosene samples and a sample of Jet A produced negative results with/without activation . Modified Ames assays on four kerosenes also produced negative results (with/without activation) except for one positive assay that occurred with activation . The testing of five kerosene and jet fuel samples in mouse lymphoma assays produced a mixture of negative and positive results . Hydrodesulfurized kerosene tested in a sister chromatid exchange assay produced negative results (with/without activation) ***In-Vivo* Genotoxicity:** Multiple *in vivo* genotoxicity studies have been done on a variety of kerosene-based materials. Four samples of kerosene were negative and a sample of Jet A was positive in *in vivo* bone marrow cytogenetic tests in Sprague-Dawley rats . One of the kerosene samples produced a positive response in male mice and negative results in females when tested in a sister chromatid exchange assay . Both deodorised kerosene and Jet A samples produced negative results in dominant lethal assays. The kerosene was administered to both mice and rats intraperitoneally, while the jet fuel was administered only to mice via inhalation. **Reproductive/Developmental Toxicity** Either 0, 20, 40 or 60% (v/v) kerosene in mineral oil was applied to the skin of the rats. The dose per body weight equivalents were 0, 165, 330 and 494 mg/kg. Test material was applied daily, 7 days/week from 14 days premating through 20 days of gestation. There were no treatment-related effects on mortality and no clinical signs of toxicity were observed. There were no compound-related effects on any of the reproductive/developmental parameters. The authors concluded that the no observable effect level (NOEL) for reproductive/developmental toxicity of HDS kerosene under the treatment conditions of the study was 494 mg/kg/day. Developmental toxicity screening studies on a kerosene and a sample of Jet A have been reported . There were no compound-related deaths in either study. While kerosene produced no clinical signs, the jet fuel produced a dose-related eye irritation (or infection). The signs of irritation lasted from 2 to 8 days with most animals showing signs for 3 days. Neither of the test materials had an effect on body weights or food consumption. Examination of offspring at delivery did not reveal any treatment-related abnormalities, soft tissue changes or skeletal abnormalities. The sex ratio of the fetuses was also unaffected by treatment with either of the compounds.
Distillates (Petroleum), Hydrotreated Light Paraffinic	* Q8 MSDS The materials included in the Lubricating Base Oils category are related from both process and physical-chemical perspectives; The potential toxicity of a specific distillate base oil is inversely related to the severity or extent of processing the oil has undergone, since: · The adverse effects of these materials are associated with undesirable components, and · The levels of the undesirable components are inversely related to the degree of processing; · Distillate base oils receiving the same degree or extent of processing will have similar toxicities; · The potential toxicity of *residual base oils* is independent of the degree of processing the oil receives. · The reproductive and developmental toxicity of the distillate base oils is inversely related to the degree of processing. The degree of refining influences the carcinogenic potential of the oils. Whereas mild acid / earth refining processes are inadequate to substantially reduce the carcinogenic potential of lubricant base oils, hydrotreatment and / or solvent extraction methods can yield oils with no

Continued...

carcinogenic potential.
Unrefined and mildly refined distillate base oils contain the highest levels of undesirable components, have the largest variation of hydrocarbon molecules and have shown the highest potential carcinogenic and mutagenic activities. Highly and severely refined distillate base oils are produced from unrefined and mildly refined oils by removing or transforming undesirable components. In comparison to unrefined and mildly refined base oils, the highly and severely refined distillate base oils have a smaller range of hydrocarbon molecules and have demonstrated very low mammalian toxicity. Mutagenicity and carcinogenicity testing of residual oils has been negative, supporting the belief that these materials lack biologically active components or the components are largely non-bioavailable due to their molecular size.
Toxicity testing has consistently shown that lubricating base oils have low acute toxicities. Numerous tests have shown that a lubricating base oil s mutagenic and carcinogenic potential correlates with its 3-7 ring polycyclic aromatic compound (PAC) content, and the level of DMSO extractables (e.g. IP346 assay), both characteristics that are directly related to the degree/conditions of processing
Skin irritating is not significant (CONCAWE) based on 14 tests on 10 CASs from the OLBO class (Other Lubricant Base Oils). Each study lasted for 24 hours, a period of time 6 times longer than the duration recommended by the OECD method).
Eye irritation is not significant according to experimental data (CONCAWE studies) based on 9 “in vivo” tests on 7 CASs from the OLBO class(Other Lubricant Base Oils).
Sensitisation: The substance does not cause the sensitization of the respiratory tract or of the skin. (CONCAWE studies based on 14 tests on 11 CASs from the OLBO class(Other Lubricant Base Oils))
Germ cell mutagenicity: The tests performed within the ‘in vivo” studies regarding gene mutation at mice micronuclei indicated negative results (CONCAWE studies. AMES tests had negative results in 7 studies performed on 4 CASs from the OLBO class(Other Lubricant Base Oils)).
Reproduction toxicity: Reproduction / development toxicity monitoring according to OECD 421 or 422 methods. CONCAWE tests gave negative results in oral gavage studies. Pre-birth studies regarding toxicity in the unborn foetus development process showed a maternal LOAEL (Lowest Observed Adverse Effect Level) of 125 mg/kg body/day, based on dermal irritation and a NOAEL (No Observable Adverse Effect Level) of 2000 mg/kg body/day, which shows that the substance
is not toxic for reproduction.
STOT (toxicity on specific target organs) – repeated exposure: Studies with short term repeated doses (28-day test) on rabbit skin indicated the NOAEL value of 1000 mg/kg. NOAEL for inhalation, local effects > 280 mg/m3 and for systemic effects NOAEL > 980 mg/m3.
Sub-chronic toxicity
90-day study Dermal: NOAEL > 2000 mg/kg (CONCAWE studies).
Repeat dose toxicity:
Oral
NOAEL for heavy paraffinic distillate aromatic extract could not be identified and is less than 125 mg/kg/day when administered orally.
Inhalation
The NOAEL for lung changes associated with oil deposition in the lungs was 220 mg/m3. As no systemic toxicity was observed, the overall NOAEL for systemic effects was > 980 mg/m3.
Dermal
In a 90 day subchronic dermal study, the administration of Light paraffinic distillate solvent extract had an adverse effect on survivability, body weights, organ weights (particularly the liver and thymus), and variety of haematology and serum chemistry parameters in exposed animals. Histopathological changes which were treatment-related were most prominent in the adrenals, bone marrow, kidneys, liver, lymph nodes, skin, stomach, and thymus. Based on the results of this study, the NOAEL for the test material is less than 30 mg/kg/day.
Toxicity to reproduction:
Mineral oil (a white mineral oil) caused no reproductive or developmental toxicity with 1 mL/kg/day (i.e., 1000 mg/kg/day) in an OECD 421 guideline study, but did cause mild to moderate skin irritation. Therefore, the reproductive/developmental NOAEL for this study is =1000 mg/kg/day and no LOAEL was determined.
Developmental toxicity, teratogenicity:
Heavy paraffinic distillate furfural extract produced maternal, reproductive and foetal toxicity. Maternal toxicity was exhibited as vaginal discharge (dose-related), body weight decrease, reduction in thymus weight and increase in liver weight (125 mg/kg/day and higher) and aberrant haematology and serum chemistry (125 and/or 500 mg/kg/day). Evidence of potential reproductive effects was shown by an increased number of dams with resorptions and intrauterine death. Distillate aromatic extract (DAE) was developmentally toxic regardless of exposure duration as indicated by increased resorptions and decreased foetal body weights. Furthermore, when exposures were increased to 1000 mg/kg/day and given only during gestation days 10 through 12, cleft palate and ossification delays were observed. Cleft palate was considered to indicate a potential teratogenic effect of DAE.
The following Oil Industry Note (OIN) has been applied: OIN 8 - The classifications as a reproductive toxicant category 2; H361d (Suspected of damaging the unborn child) and specific target organ toxicant category 1; H372 (Causes damage to organs through prolonged or repeated exposure) need not apply if the substance is not classified as carcinogenic
Toxicokinetics of lubricant base oils has been examined in rodents. Absorption of other lubricant base oils across the small intestine is related to carbon chain length; hydrocarbons with smaller chain length are more readily absorbed than hydrocarbons with a longer chain length. The majority of an oral dose of mineral hydrocarbon is not absorbed and is excreted unchanged in the faeces. Distribution of mineral hydrocarbons following absorption has been observed in liver, fat, kidney, brain and spleen. Excretion of absorbed mineral hydrocarbons occurs via the faeces and urine. Based on the pharmacokinetic parameters and disposition profiles, the data indicate inherent strain differences in the total systemic exposure (~4 fold greater systemic dose in F344 vs SD rats), rate of metabolism, and hepatic and lymph node retention of C26H52, which may be associated with the different strain sensitivities to the formation of liver granulomas and MLN histiocytosis.
Highly and Severely Refined Distillate Base Oils
Acute toxicity: Multiple studies of the acute toxicity of highly & severely refined base oils have been reported. Irrespective of the crude source or the method or extent of processing, the oral LD50s have been observed to be >5 g/kg (bw) and the dermal LD50s have ranged from >2 to >5g/kg (bw). The LC50 for inhalation toxicity ranged from 2.18 mg/l to> 4 mg/l.
When tested for skin and eye irritation, the materials have been reported as “non-irritating” to “moderately irritating”
Testing in guinea pigs for sensitization has been negative
Repeat dose toxicity: . Several studies have been conducted with these oils**. T**he weight of evidence from all available data on highly & severely refined base oils support the presumption that a distillate base oil s toxicity is inversely related to the degree of processing it receives. Adverse effects have been reported with even the most severely refined white oils - these appear to depend on animal species and/ or the peculiarities of the study.

- The granulomatous lesions induced by the oral administration of white oils are essentially foreign body responses. The lesions occur only in rats, of which the Fischer 344 strain is particularly sensitive,
- The testicular effects seen in rabbits after dermal administration of a highly to severely refined base oil were unique to a single study and may have been related to stress induced by skin irritation, and
- The accumulation of foamy macrophages in the alveolar spaces of rats exposed repeatedly via inhalation to high levels of highly to severely refined base oils is not unique to these oils, but would be seen after exposure to many water insoluble materials.

Reproductive and developmental toxicity: A highly refined base oil was used as the vehicle control in a one-generation reproduction study. The study was conducted according to the OECD Test Guideline 421. There was no effect on fertility and mating indices in either males or females. At necropsy, there were no consistent findings and organ weights and histopathology were considered normal by the study s authors.
A single generation study in which a white mineral oil (a food/ drug grade severely refined base oil) was used as a vehicle control is reported. Two separate groups of pregnant rats were administered 5 ml/kg (bw)/day of the base oil via gavage, on days 6 through 19 of gestation. In one of the two base oil dose groups, three malformed foetuses were found among three litters The study authors considered these malformations to be minor and within the normal ranges for the strain of rat.
Genotoxicity:
In vitro (mutagenicity): Several studies have reported the results of testing different base oils for mutagenicity using a modified Ames assay Base oils with no or low concentrations of 3-7 ring PACs had low mutagenicity indices.
In vivo (chromosomal aberrations): A total of seven base stocks were tested in male and female Sprague-Dawley rats using a bone marrow cytogenetics assay. The test materials were administered via gavage at dose levels ranging from 500 to 5000 mg/kg (bw). Dosing occurred for

Continued...

	either a single day or for five consecutive days. None of the base oils produced a significant increase in aberrant cells. **Carcinogenicity:** Highly & severely refined base oils are not carcinogens, when given either orally or dermally. The substance is classified by IARC as Group 3: **NOT** classifiable as to its carcinogenicity to humans. Evidence of carcinogenicity may be inadequate or limited in animal testing.
diphenylamine	Asthma-like symptoms may continue for months or even years after exposure to the material ends. This may be due to a non-allergic condition known as reactive airways dysfunction syndrome (RADS) which can occur after exposure to high levels of highly irritating compound. Main criteria for diagnosing RADS include the absence of previous airways disease in a non-atopic individual, with sudden onset of persistent asthma-like symptoms within minutes to hours of a documented exposure to the irritant. Other criteria for diagnosis of RADS include a reversible airflow pattern on lung function tests, moderate to severe bronchial hyperreactivity on methacholine challenge testing, and the lack of minimal lymphocytic inflammation, without eosinophilia. RADS (or asthma) following an irritating inhalation is an infrequent disorder with rates related to the concentration of and duration of exposure to the irritating substance. On the other hand, industrial bronchitis is a disorder that occurs as a result of exposure due to high concentrations of irritating substance (often particles) and is completely reversible after exposure ceases. The disorder is characterized by difficulty breathing, cough and mucus production. For substituted diphenylamines: Based upon reviewed data the physicochemical and toxicological properties of the substituted diphenylamines are similar and follow a regular pattern as a result of that structural similarity. Because of their powerful antioxidant properties, Substituted Diphenylamines, along with their common starting material, Diphenylamine, are regulated for use in several food-contact applications by the Food and Drug Administration as Indirect Food Additives under the following sections of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR): Heating may generate vapors which can irritate the eyes and respiratory passages. Drying of skin and mucous membranes leading to irritation may be possible from prolonged or repeated contact. Overexposure to vapors from heating the product may cause and/or skin irritation and respiratory tract irritation with symptoms such as, but not limited to, dizziness and flu-like symptoms **Acute toxicity:** As a group these materials do not produce significant acute toxicity in mammals. All show a slight to very low order of toxicity following oral administration, with LD50 values ranging from >5000 to > 34,000 mg/kg. Overall, the acute dermal LD50 for these materials was greater than the 2000 mg/kg limit dose indicating a very low order of toxicity. **Mammalian Toxicology - Mutagenicity**. Data from bacterial reverse mutation assays, in vitro and in vivo chromosome aberration studies, as well as additional supporting in vitro and in vivo genetic toxicity studies indicate a low concern for mutagenicity either for aryl or alkyl substituted materials. Similarly, the data for a mixed aryl/alkyl substituted molecule also indicates a lack of mutagenicity. **Acute toxicity:** Diphenylamine and its substituted derivatives all show a slight to moderate order of toxicity following oral administration, with LD50 values ranging from >500 to > 34,000 mg/kg. Overall, the acute dermal LD50 for these materials was greater than the 2000 mg/kg limit dose indicating a very low order of toxicity. **Mutagenicity:** Of five substituted diphenylamines tested, there was one weakly positive mutagenic response with in the bacterial mutagenicity test, with diphenylamine (122-39-4). Overall weight of evidence for this material, as well as the category indicates a negative evaluation for bacterial mutagenicity. Substituted diphenylamines have been tested for mutagenicity in tests for gene mutations and chromosomal aberrations. The assays included point mutations in bacterial cells, *in vitro* chromosomal aberrations in mammalian cells, and *in vivo* chromosomal aberrations. With one exception, the data consistently demonstrate no evidence of genotoxicity for this category of materials. This suggests that all members of the category lack genotoxicity due to their similarity in chemical structures and physicochemical properties **Repeat Dose Toxicity**: Diphenylamine (122-39-4) was tested in a 28 day oral study with rats. A NOAEL of 111 mg/kg/day was identified. Diphenylamine is not only the common precursor for the materials of this category, but also theoretically the most toxic of the class since it is the smallest member of the class. The addition of alkyl groups onto the diphenylamine molecule results in even lower water solubility and, therefore, becomes less bioavailable. Diphenylamine, styrenated (68442-68-2) was tested in a 28day oral gavage study in rats. A NOAEL of 100 mg/kg/day was identified. Diphenylamine styrenated was tested in a 28-day gavage study in rats; 100 mg/kg/day was selected as the NOAEL. Diphenylamine-, reaction products with styrene and 2,4,4-trimethylpentene (68921-45-9) was tested in a 64 week rat dietary study; a LOEL of 2500 ppm was identified. . **Reproductive and Developmental Toxicity:** Diphenylamine was administered in feed at 0.1, 0.25 or 0.5% (ca. 67, 167 or 333 mg/kg/day) to rats in a two-generation reproductive toxicity study. In general, the average size of the litters decreased as the concentration of dietary diphenylamine increased. A NOEL was not established. A developmental study was also conducted with diphenylamine in rabbits. The test article was administered by gavage at dose levels of 0, 33, 100 and 300 mg/kg/day for gestation days 7-19. The test article produced minimal effects (decreased food consumption and mean body weight) to maternal rats at 300 mg/kg during pregnancy; there were no other signs of maternal toxicity. NOAEL for maternal toxicity was established at 100 mg/kg/day. The NOAEL for teratogenicity/developmental effects was greater than 300 mg/kg/day. ADI: 0.02 mg/kg/day NOEL: 1.5 mg/kg/day
Petroleum Naphtha & Distillates (Petroleum), Hydrotreated Light Paraffinic	No significant acute toxicological data identified in literature search.

Acute Toxicity	✗	**Carcinogenicity**	✗
Skin Irritation/Corrosion	✗	**Reproductivity**	✗
Serious Eye Damage/Irritation	✗	**STOT - Single Exposure**	✗
Respiratory or Skin sensitisation	✗	**STOT - Repeated Exposure**	✗
Mutagenicity	✗	**Aspiration Hazard**	✗

Legend: ✗ *– Data either not available or does not fill the criteria for classification*
✓ *– Data available to make classification*

SECTION 12 Ecological information

Toxicity

MOPAR Transmission Sealer & Conditioner

Endpoint	Test Duration (hr)	Species	Value	Source
Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available

Petroleum Naphtha

Endpoint	Test Duration (hr)	Species	Value	Source
NOEC(ECx)	3072h	Fish	1mg/l	1

Distillates (Petroleum), Hydrotreated Light Paraffinic

Endpoint	Test Duration (hr)	Species	Value	Source
NOEC(ECx)	504h	Crustacea	>1mg/l	1

Continued...

	EC50	48h	Crustacea	>1000mg/l	1

diphenylamine

Endpoint	Test Duration (hr)	Species	Value	Source
BCF	1344h	Fish	51-253	7
EC50	72h	Algae or other aquatic plants	0.048mg/l	1
EC50	48h	Crustacea	0.27-0.36mg/l	4
EC50(ECx)	72h	Algae or other aquatic plants	0.048mg/l	1
LC50	96h	Fish	2.088-3.596mg/L	4

Legend: *Extracted from 1. IUCLID Toxicity Data 2. Europe ECHA Registered Substances - Ecotoxicological Information - Aquatic Toxicity 4. US EPA, Ecotox database - Aquatic Toxicity Data 5. ECETOC Aquatic Hazard Assessment Data 6. NITE (Japan) - Bioconcentration Data 7. METI (Japan) - Bioconcentration Data 8. Vendor Data*

Persistence and degradability

Ingredient	Persistence: Water/Soil	Persistence: Air
diphenylamine	LOW (Half-life = 56 days)	Not Available

Bioaccumulative potential

Ingredient	Bioaccumulation
Petroleum Naphtha	LOW (BCF = 159)
diphenylamine	LOW (BCF = 253)

Mobility in soil

Ingredient	Mobility
diphenylamine	LOW (KOC = 1887)

SECTION 13 Disposal considerations

Waste treatment methods

Product / Packaging disposal

Legislation addressing waste disposal requirements may differ by country, state and/ or territory. Each user must refer to laws operating in their area. In some areas, certain wastes must be tracked.
A Hierarchy of Controls seems to be common - the user should investigate:
- Reduction
- Reuse
- Recycling
- Disposal (if all else fails)

This material may be recycled if unused, or if it has not been contaminated so as to make it unsuitable for its intended use. If it has been contaminated, it may be possible to reclaim the product by filtration, distillation or some other means. Shelf life considerations should also be applied in making decisions of this type. Note that properties of a material may change in use, and recycling or reuse may not always be appropriate.
- **DO NOT** allow wash water from cleaning or process equipment to enter drains.
- It may be necessary to collect all wash water for treatment before disposal.
- In all cases disposal to sewer may be subject to local laws and regulations and these should be considered first.
- Where in doubt contact the responsible authority.
- Recycle wherever possible or consult manufacturer for recycling options.
- Consult State Land Waste Management Authority for disposal.
- Bury residue in an authorised landfill.
- Recycle containers if possible, or dispose of in an authorised landfill.

SECTION 14 Transport information

Labels Required

Marine Pollutant	NO

Land transport (TDG): NOT REGULATED FOR TRANSPORT OF DANGEROUS GOODS

Air transport (ICAO-IATA / DGR): NOT REGULATED FOR TRANSPORT OF DANGEROUS GOODS

Sea transport (IMDG-Code / GGVSee): NOT REGULATED FOR TRANSPORT OF DANGEROUS GOODS

Transport in bulk according to Annex II of MARPOL and the IBC code

Not Applicable

Transport in bulk in accordance with MARPOL Annex V and the IMSBC Code

Product name	Group
Petroleum Naphtha	Not Available
Distillates (Petroleum), Hydrotreated Light Paraffinic	Not Available
diphenylamine	Not Available

Transport in bulk in accordance with the ICG Code

Continued...

Product name	Ship Type
Petroleum Naphtha	Not Available
Distillates (Petroleum), Hydrotreated Light Paraffinic	Not Available
diphenylamine	Not Available

SECTION 15 Regulatory information

Safety, health and environmental regulations / legislation specific for the substance or mixture

This product has been classified in accordance with the hazard criteria of the Hazardous Products Regulations and the SDS contains all the information required by the Hazardous Products Regulations.

Petroleum Naphtha is found on the following regulatory lists

Canada Categorization decisions for all DSL substances
Canada Domestic Substances List (DSL)
Canada Toxicological Index Service - Workplace Hazardous Materials Information System - WHMIS GHS
Chemical Footprint Project - Chemicals of High Concern List
International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) - Agents Classified by the IARC Monographs
International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) - Agents Classified by the IARC Monographs - Group 1: Carcinogenic to humans

Distillates (Petroleum), Hydrotreated Light Paraffinic is found on the following regulatory lists

Canada Categorization decisions for all DSL substances
Canada Domestic Substances List (DSL)
Chemical Footprint Project - Chemicals of High Concern List
International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) - Agents Classified by the IARC Monographs
International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) - Agents Classified by the IARC Monographs - Group 1: Carcinogenic to humans

diphenylamine is found on the following regulatory lists

Canada Categorization decisions for all DSL substances
Canada Domestic Substances List (DSL)
Canada Toxicological Index Service - Workplace Hazardous Materials Information System - WHMIS GHS
International WHO List of Proposed Occupational Exposure Limit (OEL) Values for Manufactured Nanomaterials (MNMS)

National Inventory Status

National Inventory	Status
Australia - AIIC / Australia Non-Industrial Use	Yes
Canada - DSL	Yes
Canada - NDSL	No (Petroleum Naphtha; Distillates (Petroleum), Hydrotreated Light Paraffinic; diphenylamine)
China - IECSC	Yes
Europe - EINEC / ELINCS / NLP	Yes
Japan - ENCS	Yes
Korea - KECI	Yes
New Zealand - NZIoC	Yes
Philippines - PICCS	Yes
USA - TSCA	Yes
Taiwan - TCSI	Yes
Mexico - INSQ	No (Distillates (Petroleum), Hydrotreated Light Paraffinic)
Vietnam - NCI	Yes
Russia - FBEPH	Yes
Legend:	*Yes = All CAS declared ingredients are on the inventory* *No = One or more of the CAS listed ingredients are not on the inventory. These ingredients may be exempt or will require registration.*

SECTION 16 Other information

Revision Date	08/05/2022
Initial Date	08/06/2022

SDS Version Summary

Version	Date of Update	Sections Updated
0.2	08/05/2022	Classification, Ingredients, Physical Properties, Name

Other information

Classification of the preparation and its individual components has drawn on official and authoritative sources as well as independent review by the Chemwatch Classification committee using available literature references.
The SDS is a Hazard Communication tool and should be used to assist in the Risk Assessment. Many factors determine whether the reported Hazards are Risks in the workplace or other settings. Risks may be determined by reference to Exposures Scenarios. Scale of use, frequency of use and current or available engineering controls must be considered.

Definitions and abbreviations

PC—TWA: Permissible Concentration-Time Weighted Average
PC—STEL: Permissible Concentration-Short Term Exposure Limit
IARC: International Agency for Research on Cancer

Continued...

ACGIH: American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists
STEL: Short Term Exposure Limit
TEEL: Temporary Emergency Exposure Limit。
IDLH: Immediately Dangerous to Life or Health Concentrations
ES: Exposure Standard
OSF: Odour Safety Factor
NOAEL :No Observed Adverse Effect Level
LOAEL: Lowest Observed Adverse Effect Level
TLV: Threshold Limit Value
LOD: Limit Of Detection
OTV: Odour Threshold Value
BCF: BioConcentration Factors
BEI: Biological Exposure Index
AIIC: Australian Inventory of Industrial Chemicals
DSL: Domestic Substances List
NDSL: Non-Domestic Substances List
IECSC: Inventory of Existing Chemical Substance in China
EINECS: European INventory of Existing Commercial chemical Substances
ELINCS: European List of Notified Chemical Substances
NLP: No-Longer Polymers
ENCS: Existing and New Chemical Substances Inventory
KECI: Korea Existing Chemicals Inventory
NZIoC: New Zealand Inventory of Chemicals
PICCS: Philippine Inventory of Chemicals and Chemical Substances
TSCA: Toxic Substances Control Act
TCSI: Taiwan Chemical Substance Inventory
INSQ: Inventario Nacional de Sustancias Químicas
NCI: National Chemical Inventory
FBEPH: Russian Register of Potentially Hazardous Chemical and Biological Substances

Powered by AuthorITe, from Chemwatch.

end of SDS